



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/688,494

10/17/2003

Michael Stuart Shane

ORCL5863/OID-2003-070-01

2193

53156 7590 03/17/2008

YOUNG LAW FIRM, P.C.

4370 ALPINE RD.

STE. 106

PORTOLA VALLEY, CA 94028

EXAMINER

PUENTE, EMERSON C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2113

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/688,494	SHANE, MICHAEL STUART	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Emerson C. Puente	2113	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Emerson C. Puente. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Alan Young (Reg No. 37,970). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Duggan '871.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed difference between claim invention and prior art reference. Applicant explained that invention does not use scripts for testing, unlike prior art reference. Examiner suggested to amend claims to include not using scripts in the next response, which would overcome the rejection. Applicant agreed to include the amendment in the next response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Emerson C Puente/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2113

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required